

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/687,461	10/16/2003	Yoshio Takada	B422-243	8871
26272	7590 06/06/2005		EXAM	INER
COWAN LIEBOWITZ & LATMAN P.C.			PERKEY, WILLIAM B	
JOHN J TORF	RENTE			
1133 AVE OF THE AMERICAS			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1133 AVE OF THE AMERICAS			2851	
NEW YORK,	NY 10036			

DATE MAILED: 06/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/687,461	TAKADA, YOSHIO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	William B. Perkey	2851				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron to cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a)☐ This action is FINAL.	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pr					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4,7-11 and 14-18 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4,7-11 and 14-18 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	wn from consideration.					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 16 October 2003 is/are	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 October 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicative documents have been received in Received in Received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/687,461

Art Unit: 2851

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-4, 7-11 and 14-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the acknowledged Prior Art disclosed in applicants Figs. 6A and 6B in view of Nakagishi (U.S. Patent No. 6,424,068 B2).

Prior Art Fig. 6B discloses a rotor 102; a first bearing 101a; and second bearing 104a.

The lever 102 is connected to a not shown light quantity adjusting device. The Fig. 6 B also discloses a Hall element 118 to detect the position of the rotor. Fig. 6B shows the yoke 105 arranged in a position shifted in a thrust direction with respect to the magnet (see the paragraph bridging pages 2 and 3 of applicant's disclosure). A bias in the radial direction is described on page 6 lines 3-6 of applicant's disclosure. The first and second bearings are illustrated as formed in a bobbin. The acknowledged prior art discloses the claimed invention, except for the first bearing having a tapered shape and the fitted and axis portion are in a relation of a line osculation. Nakagishi discloses a motor device for controlling a device that requires high stability. Tapered and semi-spherical bearings, some embodiments with biasing means for the bearings, are disclosed by Nakagishi to obtain high stability of undesired movements. Figs. 23 and 24 show a fitted and axis portion in a relation of line osculation where the parts 101 and 103 in Fig. 23 come into contact and where the parts 105 and 101 come into contact in Fig. 24. It

Application/Control Number: 10/687,461

Art Unit: 2851

would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of applicant's invention, to substitute improved bearings and axial portions of shapes similar to parts 103, 101, 105, 115 in Figs. 23 and 24 of Nakagishi for the bearings and axial portions of the acknowledged prior art Fig. 6B, in order to obtain the desirable feature of improved stabilization of the light quantity adjusting means.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed March 4, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's have attacked the references of the rejection individually. One cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

Telephone Numbers

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William B. Perkey whose telephone number is (571) 272-2126. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:00am-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-2258. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/687,461

Art Unit: 2851

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

William B. Perkey Primary Examiner Art Unit 2851

WBP:wbp